

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/757,644 | 01/11/2001 | Yoshinobu Makino | 2091-0228P-SP | 8028 | |
| 7590 03/01/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP P.O. BOX 747 | | | KLINGER, SCOTT M | | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2153 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| • | | lu - |
|------------------|-------------------|------|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| 09/757,644 | MAKINO, YOSHINOBU | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Scott M. Klinger | 2153 | |

| 7.47.00.77.10.10.1. | 00,707,077 | 100 11110 | ,50 |
|---|--|--|---|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Scott M. Klinger | 2153 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of tog date of the final rejection. | which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri | lication in est for Continued iods: |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwars filed on 14 January 2005. A brief in compliance with Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS | 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis | two months of the da smissal of the appeal | te of filing the |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | • | TE below); | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | • • | ducina or cimplifyina | the issues for |
| appeal; and/or | tter form for appear by materially re | ducing or simplifying | tile issues ioi |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to consider the filed after the date of fi | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s)/ | |
| 13. Other: | Pan | / \ | |
| | EUP E | CLENYON J. BURGE RVISORY PATENT EX | :SS (AMINER |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/757,644

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The newly amended limitations of claims 7, 8, and 9 for the provision of the pointer referencing a storage location in a personal computer of a client sufficiently alters the scope of the claims to require a new prior art search and further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In referring to claims 1-9 examiner maintains that Dane teaches what has been claimed. Dane Fig. 2 shows a client sends an order for prints of photographs. Said order points to photographs on server 10. The output server 30 uses this order information to obtain the 'material' or photograph data from server 10. The output server 30 is not the same as the E-Prints server 10.

In referring to claims 7, 8, and 9 the arguments relied upon by applicant are based upon amendments that have not been entered.